

Serial No. : 10/753,118

### REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is again thanked for his thorough examination of the application.

Claims 1-55 are in the application.

Claims 47-55 stand rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as indefinite

Claims 47 and 48 have been amended to remove the indefiniteness.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 28, 20, 21, 22, 26, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, and 37 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over copending Application No. 11/584,334.

Claims 47 and 54 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over copending Application No. 11/584,334.

Claim 38 stands rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over U.S. Patent No. 7,127,101.

Claim 10 stands rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over U.S. Patent No. 7,127,101.

In response to the double patenting rejections, terminal disclaimers are being filed herewith.

It is believed that the amendment to the claims and the terminal disclaimers traverse all of the rejections.

Reexamination and reconsideration are requested. It is further requested that the application be allowed and passed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,  
DONALD J. LENKSZUS, P.C.

/Donald J. Lenkszus/

APRIL 24, 2007

Donald J. Lenkszus, Reg. No. 28,096  
P.O. Box 3064  
Carefree, AZ 85377-3064  
Telephone: (602) 463-2010  
Facsimile: (480) 595-7695